

## Tax on the Farm

***The Statesman***

***November 25 2017***

In March 2016, in response to an RTI application, the Income Tax Department revealed that agricultural income earned by the 6.57 lakh assesseees who had filed returns in 2011, stood at nearly Rs. 2,000 lakh crore, which was over 20 times the country's gross domestic product of over Rs. 84 lakh crore in that year. Subsequently, however, in January 2017, it clarified that the shocking figures were primarily due to data entry errors, an assertion yet to be verified, but even extrapolation of the reduced income for all the identified cases implies that more than Rs 4000 crore of agricultural income were lying outside the tax-net.

Agriculture is exempt from income tax, under Section 2(1A) of the Income Tax Act which defines agricultural income as rent/revenue from land, income derived from this land through agriculture and income derived from buildings on that land. Further, unless there is specific taxing entry in the Union or State List under the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution, no tax can be imposed by the Union or the State. The tax on agricultural income is listed under the State List (Entry 46), and hence the Central Government cannot tax such income. As such, section 10 (1) of the Income Tax Act, a Central Act, excludes agricultural income from the computation of total income. Read with Section 2(1A), it implies that farmers who have no other sources of income are not required to file income-tax returns. It is only those farmers who derive income from sources other than agriculture who are required to file returns. If their agricultural income exceeds Rs 5000, and their total income is otherwise taxable, the agricultural income is to be added to the total income only for determination of their appropriate income slab for chargeability of tax, but no tax will be imposed on the income derived from agriculture.

Any decision to tax agricultural income by the Centre will require an amendment to the Constitution. For that a taxing entry need to be inserted in the Union List and appropriate changes should be made in Part XII of the Constitution, dealing with Finances of the Union and the States. Of course, states have to be involved in the process, as presently they only can impose such a tax. It will be foolish to expect that states, already reeling under agitations by farmers, will take the plunge. For the Centre also, it will be a contentious issue, with various lobbying groups trying to protect the interests of the big farmers. But the danger of avoiding or postponing this will spell doom for the economy and defeat the Government's earnest attempts to eliminate black money, as the untaxed agriculture sector will continue to absorb black money. It is also extremely unfair from considerations of equity and simplification of the tax administration.

In June 2016, at the Rajasva Gyan Sangam, an annual conference of tax administrators, suggestion was made to the Prime Minister "on the need to target farmers with non-agricultural income above a certain threshold, an idea that was pitched as a low-hanging fruit that could potentially bolster ongoing efforts to widen the country's taxpayer base." It may be mentioned that of the 25 crore taxpaying households in the country, 15 crore households are designated as agriculturalists and the remaining 10 crore are non-

agriculturalists, according to estimates produced at the conference. Even earlier, Committee after Committees appointed by the Government had warned the Government about the pitfalls of non-taxing the agriculture sector. The K N Raj Committee (1972), Tax reforms Committee (1991), Task Force on Direct Taxes (2002), Tax Administration Reform Commission (2014) - all recommended taxation of agriculture to plug the loopholes for evasion and for the laundering of tax evaded money. Despite pointing out the inherent inequity in exempting rich farmers earning more than salaried employees, nothing really happened. Actually, it is not even something unprecedented - agricultural income used to be taxed in India till 1886 when it was abolished. Income from tea, coffee and rubber plantations are taxed even now under Rules 8, 7A and 7B of the Income Tax Rules, 1962. But agriculture being the holy cow of the economy, any proposal to bring the sector as a whole under the tax net has always met with the strongest disapproval from the farmers' lobbies and the Government on the consideration of loss of vote banks.

The vote bank consideration is based on myth. Even if the sector is brought under the tax net, it is only a miniscule percentage of the total number of agricultural workers who would be affected by such a tax. Even at present, hardly 2 percent of the assesseees declare any agricultural income. As mentioned, small and marginal farmers are not required to file any income tax returns if agriculture is their only source of income, and even if the sector is brought under tax, their income will be well below the tax threshold.

From the Agricultural Census and 2011 population census figures, we can estimate that the number of small and marginal farmers in the country at 118 million (93 million marginal, 25 million small) - they account for about 93 percent of the total farmers in the country and together hold 45% of the total area under cultivation. The remaining 7 percent farmers who share between them 55 percent of the total cultivable land would be the target group for the incidence of any future tax on agriculture. They certainly do not constitute a major vote bank, though their voice may be loud enough for any political party to yield to their demands for continued exemption. It is also relevant that agriculture sector contributes only 16 percent to our GDP. Its growth has been stagnant, the percentages of growth during 2012-13 to 2016-17 being only 1.5, 4.2,-0.2, 1.2 and 4.1 respectively. No significant capital investment has been made in agriculture during the past decades.

According to the Situation Assessment Survey of Agricultural Households conducted during January 2013 to December 2013, the average monthly income per agricultural households was estimated as Rs 6426. The net receipt from farm business (cultivation and farming of animals) accounted for about 60 percent of the average monthly income per agricultural household. The average monthly consumption expenditure per agricultural household was Rs 6223, while the income was Rs 6426. Only for the large farmers holding more than 10 hectares of land, the income was Rs 41,388 per month, above the income tax threshold. These data show that only the large famers who account for a miniscule 0.70 percent of the total operational holdings will be liable to pay any income tax. They also have substantial non-farm income, above the tax threshold.

Even the agro-companies growing crops are entitled to the same tax reliefs as individuals in respect of agricultural income, which defies all logic when we consider the scale of exemptions enjoyed by these companies. Let us take a few examples. More than four lakh taxpayers had claimed exemption for

agricultural income in the assessment year 2014-15. The biggest beneficiaries were Kaveri Seeds, which claimed Rs 186.63 crore as exemption, and multinational Monsanto India, which claimed Rs 94.40 crore as exemption, and they earned Rs 215.36 crore and Rs 138.74 crore profits respectively before tax. It may be mentioned that Monsanto is the company that sells the genetically modified Bt-Cotton seeds in the country and earn huge profits therefrom. If this is not inequitable and irrational, one wonders what is. According to an estimate, taxing only the richest 4.1 percent agricultural households, as much as Rs 25000 crore could be collected as tax.

Given that only 2.6% of population paid any taxes in 2015-16 (39% in USA for comparison), and only 25 lakh paid tax at the highest rate - 90 percent of them from the organised sector where taxes are compulsorily deducted at source, if we are serious about expanding the tax base, this hitherto untaxed sector must be brought under the tax net. Of course given the highly informal nature of business in this sector, tax administration will pose serious problems initially, but that is expected of any new domain. It should be ensured that the tax liability rests only on the shoulders of the rich farmers while insulating the small and marginal farmers.

In 1925, the Indian Taxation Enquiry Committee had noted, "There is no historical or theoretical justification for the continued exemption from the income tax of income derived from agriculture. There are, however, administrative and political objections to the removal of the exemption at the present time." After more than 90 years these words still ring the same. It is high time for another disruptive and structural reform in this area.