## APPENDIX-1

Questionnaire on Groundwater Governance for Collection of Data and Feedback from concerned state govt officials, NGO& institutions implementing programme

- 1. To your knowledge, which institutions are involved in groundwater governance in your area/country? Should additional ones be involved?
- 2. Do adequate groundwater institutions exist at different government levels (national/sub-national1/local) acting in your area/country? In what aspects should then be supported?
- 3. What are the groundwater governance priorities at the different government levels (national/sub-national/local) in your area/country?
- 4. Do formal groundwater policies and legislation exist in your area/country? If so, are they applied and enforced?
- 5. What are the challenges and barriers to groundwater governance in your area/country? Why?
- 6. What are the main problems related to groundwater quality/quantity in your area/country? What are the scales (national/sub-national/local) of the main problems?
- 7. What is the best way to increase the level of investment on groundwater at local, national and regional levels, and what are the main investment needs?
- 8. Has any study / project is carried out to assess the socio-economic impact of the groundwater schemes on the beneficiaries/ stakeholders? If yes, please provide the details.
- 9. Who are the stakeholders / beneficiaries of the projects taken up?
- 10. How the projects are has benefited the local farmers and other stakeholders?
- 11. What is the attitude of the beneficiary / farmers while implementing such projects and how was the response of state/district agencies?
- 12. Being an implementing agency did you face any problem from the State Ground Water Organisation, or any central government agency?

- 13. Is there, a complete synergy between Government of India and State. / District Organisations concerned in implementing activities aimed at sustainable management of ground water resources? If not, what are the expectations from the State/ Central agencies?
- 14. In your opinion, what are the other constraints being faced while implementing such programmes?
- 15. Do you have any suggestions for improving the effectiveness of the implemented programme for ensuring better results?
- 16. How could an interdisciplinary dialogue on groundwater governance among public, private, academia, and civil society partners, and between rural and urban partners, be established and sustained?
- 17. What are the important steps or actions needed to establish a shared regional vision on groundwater governance? How do you see the role of your institution in achieving such an outcome?
- 18. What are the main local problems and required steps needed to establish an effective action to solve them and to improve local groundwater governance? How do you see the role of your institution on supporting local actions?
- 19. If you work in or have knowledge of a particular case study related to groundwater governance in your area, please provide a very brief description of it including characteristics of the groundwater (i.e.: aquifer), area, scale, use, stakeholders, institutional setting, actual groundwater issue/s.
- 20. What is your key message on groundwater governance and to whom would you like to address it?
- 21. Do you feel the subsidy on power, if removed, will help in reducing overexploitation of ground water in your area?

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR BENEFICIARY(FARMERS/ GRAM PRADHAN)

1.Village	2.Panchaya	at	3.Panchayat I	Iead Name
4.District&S	tate	5.	Over/Under Explo	ited village
6. Type of Pi	roject:			
7. Project im	pact on the Grou	nd Water 7	Table: Fall/ Rise/No	o change
		1.6		
8. Project im	pact on change in	G W Qua	lity: Worsen/Improv	ved/No change
9. Project im	pact on GW with	drawal / dı	raft: More /Less/N	o Change
10. Over Exp	ploitation of the G	W checke	d: Yes/No/Not Kr	nown
11. Impact o	n income of the bo	eneficiaries	: Negative/Positive	Henry
12. Income i	ncrement Rs/ha:			
Income	Marginal	Small	Medium	Large

0-5K

5-10 K	ly kg / la Pre	Project	Post Pro-
10-20K			
20-40K			
>40K			

## 13. Impact on Pumping Cost

Increased < 50%

Increased > 50%

Decreased < 50%

Decreased > 50%

14. Impact on Poverty Reduction: Reduced /

No Change

## 15. Household of BPL (% of total HH of Village)

Pre Project

Post Project

16. Impact on Biomass of the village: Increased/Decreased/ No Change

17. Impact on public health: Improved /Deteriorated/No Change

18. Impact on cropping Pattern: Changed

/ No Change

18.1 Increased Area Under Crop: Cereal

Pulses

Oilseeds

Vegetable

18.2 Decreased Area Under Crop: Cereal

Pulses

Oilseeds

Vegetable

19. Impact on Employment Generation: More

Less

No

Change

19.1. Increased / Decreased Employment man day / yr:<50, 50-100, >100

20.1Wheat	
20.2Paddy	
20.3Other Cereal	
20.4Gram	
20.5Pea	
20.6Other Pulses	
20.7Mustard	
20.8Linseed	
20.9Other Oilseed	
20.10 Vegetable crops	
20.11Fodder	
21. Impact on Soil Salinity: Improved Worsened Change	No
22. Impact on Water Logging: Improved Worsened Change	No
23 Impact on Vulnerable Group	
Improved Worsened No Change	
23.1SCs	
23.2STs	
23.3 Women headed family	
23.4BPL	
23.5Handicapped	

20. Crop Productivity kg / ha Pre Project

Post Project