Citizen-centricity for e-Governance initiatives in Rural Areas

Charru Malhotra*, V.M. Chariar, L.K. Das

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Charru Malhotra, Asst Professor, Indian Institute of Public Administration (IIPA), I.P. Estate, Outer-Ring Road, New-Delhi- 110002. Mobile: +91-9818529298 Dr. V.M. Chariar, Asst. Professor, CRDT, IIT-D, Hauz Khas, New Delhi. Mobile: +91-9868111550

Prof. L.K. Das, Head, IDDC, IIT-D, Hauz Khas, New Delhi. Mobile: +91-9891941433

Background

Democratic governance mechanisms are becoming more receptive to the potentials of information communication technologies (ICT) to achieve good governance in its implementation. This application of ICTs for governance is covered under the umbrella term of 'e-governance'. E-Governance is expected to maximise citizen satisfaction by not just improving responsiveness of public service delivery mechanisms but also by augmenting citizens' participation in governance mechanisms (Gilmore & D'Souza, 2006¹). Certainly for any e-governance initiative to be totally acceptable, citizens' needs and aspirations need to be its starting point and the core nuclei (Saxena, 2005²). However, the reality seems to its contrary, more specifically in the rural areas of developing countries such as India.

Existing Scenario in Rural India

India is one of the most populous nations of the world with a population of approximately 102 crore of which 742 million people (72% of its population) inhabit approximately 6,38,365 villages (Census, 2001³). The country has a diverse social and cultural profile where each region has different ecology, language and traditions. India has a paradoxical legacy of a heritage characterised by locally governed units and a federal structure attributed to its colonial past. In Indian villages every second person is illiterate, and majority of them are small farmers, artisans or laborers. Poverty affects 22% of the rural citizens (Census, 2001⁴).

To ameliorate this reality being confronted by the Indian villagers, several rural ICT interventions with varied functional objectives such as providing ICT infrastructure such as radio, video and TV or other hardware facilities, rural connectivity, computer based training / employment, establishing tele-centre setup, ensuring e-governance implementation or other ICT based application or content, have already been incubated and established in rural India (A suggestive list of such rural ICT initiatives have been enclosed as Appendix-1). Central government of India has announced National e-Governance Plan (NeGP) of the country in the year 2006 (http://www.negp.gov.in/) wherein a target to set up 1,00,000 CSCs has been proposed. These CSCs are rural tele-centres setup to provide the villagers with a slew of services, such as birth and death certificates, land registration, employment opportunities, matrimonial, mandis (market-places), education, veterinary services and so on in the rural areas (http://www.csc-india.org/). Another important ruralcentric MMP under NeGP is termed e-PRI (electronic-panchayati raj institutions). The State level MMPs concentrate on complete automation of Panchayat functioning for both citizen specific services including issuance of licenses, certificates, providing proceedings of gram sabha or information related to beneficiaries of various schemes and also the services identified for grass root functionaries such as automation of survey data specially for below poverty line (BPL), families census services data (education and health) and for panchayat accounting jobs and so on. Initiatives that are already underway include e-panchayat in the State of Andhra Pradesh (http: //www.ekpanch.ap.nic.in/), e-Gram in the State of Gujarat, PriaSoft in the State of Orissa (http://www.as.ori.nic.in/priasoft) and so on. Then there is an 'e-District scheme' (DiT, 2009⁵), which aims at providing support to the basic administrative unit and to target certain high volume services currently not covered by any of the MMP's under the NeGP and undertake backend computerisation to enable the delivery of these services through CSCs. Even the private sector has been actively setting up rural telecentres; prominent among these are ITC and Hindustan Lever Limited (HLL) which have launched grassroots ICT projects like e-Choupal and i-Shakti respectively. Similarly various nongovernmental organisations (NGO's) such as Development Alternatives and M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation (MSSRF) have set up ICT Projects like TAARAhaat (Technology Action for Rural Advancement) and Information Villages project respectively, to address a mix of business and social objectives in the rural areas. Therefore several rural egovernance initiatives have been speared by Government of India, or by respective state governments or by local administration or by popular non-governmental organisations or by popular market players and so on.

While some of these initiatives did generate initial enthusiasm, majority of them failed to meet the needs and expectations of rural citizens in the longer run. A popular study by (Heeks and Davis 1999⁶) suggests "...the majority of ICT based initiatives end in total failure of a system that never works; partial failure in which the major goals are unattained or in which there are significant undesirable outcomes". Majority of them appear to be heavily technology-centric, adopted from the environment of developed countries and thus fail to assure rural development in developing countries such as India (Jauhari, 2004⁷, Wilson 2000⁸). The fact stays that the assumptions of developed nations are often mismatched with the cultural realities of developing nations (Heeks, 2002)⁹.

Contextual Influences of Rural Regions on e-Governance Initiatives

After all in a country as diverse and rich as India, rural reality tends to be beset with many complex events and typical indigenous knowledge systems. These cultural and aspects would vary from region to region and have unique influence on the needs and expectations of its inhabitants, thereby exerting dissimilar influences on its regional e-Governance implementation too. Several researchers such as (Carter and Weerakkody 2008)¹⁰ affirm that the salient influents on usage intention of citizens for e-governance initiative may differ contextually and are dependent on a nation's demographics, cultural norms and so on. These contextual factors are numerous and a suggestive list of contextual factors that affect the adoption of egovernance in a developing country as India has been delineated in literature. However, grouping of some of them in indicative (and not exhaustive) categories is as below:

Regional Administrative Culture: Such as facilitating conditions provided by government (Hung *et al.*, 2005)¹¹; work culture in administrative organization (Kraemer & Dedrick, 1997)¹²

- Citizen Characteristics: Such as user profile based on age, gender, education, income and occupation of people (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2006)¹³; user-uncertainty (Hung *et al.*, 2005)
- Physical Infrastructure: Access to ICTs, e-services and mass media channels (Carter & Weerakkody, 2008; Darrell, 2002¹⁴; Dimitrova & Chen, 2006¹⁵; Fang, 2002¹⁶; Mutula, 2005¹⁷; Oxendine, Borgida, Sullivan & Jackson 2003¹⁸); security concerns (Jarvenpaa, Tractinsky & Sarinen 1999¹⁹) and supporting infrastructure (Ramachandran, 2003²⁰; Ratnadeep & Hara, 2006²¹)
- Socio-Cultural Factors: Civic mindedness (Hung *et al.*, 2005); idiosyncrasies of particular groups, reflecting the group's societal affiliation and position (Penz, 2005²²); specific social and cultural issues (Carter & Weerakkody, 2008; Kanungo, 2004²³); trust factor (Belanger & Carter, 2005²⁴; Bhattacherjee, 2002²⁵; Gefen *et al.*, 2002²⁶; Navarra & Cornford, 2003²⁷); resistance to change (Margetts & Dunleavy, 2002²⁸); and risk-reduction (Belanger & Carter, 2005; Gefen *et al.*, 2002).

Indeed the related e-governance literature is replete with illustrative list of such contextual factors, but due to the local variation, only few studies venture to recommend systemic solutions to overcome them, that too especially in rural context of a developing and diverse country as India.

Citizens' Perspectives of Contextual Influences

The main preposition of this theme paper is since these contextual influences are a prominent influence, therefore before designing any e-governance initiatives for the rural areas, diverse needs of the citizens may be given proper consideration from the perspective of the people's potentialities, needs and aspirations defined by their respective rural reality. This would result in design of customised e-governance initiatives that would be more responsive to the contextual reality of its respective rural areas and hence better utilised by the locales and therefore prove to be more sustainable. Responsiveness of a design approach for e-governance initiative to such contextual realities of citizens is generally referred as citizen-centric or people-centric approach for designing e-governance initiatives and is expected to ensure overall acceptability of e-governance initiatives.

Understanding Citizen-Centricity

"Citizen-centricity is about turning the focus of government around – looking at the world through the other end of the telescope, so that the needs of the citizen and businesses come first, rather than operational (aspects) or other imperatives inside the government machine".

(Intel & Gov3, 2006)

Citizen centric approach entails evolving an e-governance offering that is integrated, is citizen-driven and ethnographically sensitive, rather than a mere understanding of technology an its acceptance by users. Citizen centric approach focuses on the citizens needs from the point-of-view of citizens themselves, and therefore citizen-participation and their representatives is the core-essence of citizen-centricity in e-governance. It involves a detailed "understanding of human elements" (Lee-Kelley and Kolsaker, 2004²⁹) (and not conventional technology or bureaucratic parameters) to determine why citizens would bolster their usage of e-governance initiatives over the prevailing mechanism of fulfilling their governance needs. Such a citizen-centric approach is expected to retain six guiding principles in its vision *viz.* "A holistic approach to customer focus; web-centric delivery; building a credible brand; value addition by large efficiency gains; continuous improvement, building trust and confidence" (Gupta, 2007, pp.40³⁰)

Why Citizen Centricity

Many philosophers (Burn & Robins, 2003³¹; Donnelly, 1999³²; Fors & Moreno, 2002³³; Pujar *et al*, 2008³⁴) have put across a need for adopting a citizen-centric approach for designing e-governance initiatives. The related published literature on good governance has also proposed grounding action agenda in the contextual realities of each country, including verifiable participation of citizens in the governmental decision-making process (Evans & Yen, 2006³⁵; Grindle, 2004³⁶) ; to ensure legitimacy (Farnham & Horton, 1996³⁷); representative-ness (Garson, 1999³⁸) and efficiency with which public affairs are conducted (Dhameja & Medury, 2004³⁹).

It is this aspect of good governance that also sets the tone for imbuing citizencentricity in rural e-governance initiatives.

Support for citizen-centricity also comes from numerous theories drawn from prevailing emerging trends in management, public administration, governance and design realm. Management gurus insist on 'Customer relation management' with customer as the driving force for all the strategies, public administration practices are being influenced by 'New Public Management (NPM)' practices where citizen is to be revered as a customer and even good-governance principles insist on citizen as the core-nucleus of all governance activities. Principles of citizen-centricity are also supported by inclusive "bottom-up" approach and collaborative stakeholdermanagement practices. It also draws from 'network governance' theories of inclusion, e-participation frameworks and also from the user-centered design approach, where the end-user decides the design strategy to their own advantage. Academics and practitioners of all these disciplines highlight the need as well as the benefits of bringing citizens to the centre-stage of processes of democratic governance using e-governance initiatives. The common underlying justification for such a citizen-centric approach is that since citizen is the ultimate beneficiary and also the primary actor in a democracy, therefore all development and governance processes should focus on people (Fors and Moreno, 2002, 198,199). Moreover, despite being the chief beneficiaries of rural e-governance initiatives (ReGI), rural citizens have been generally viewed as passive recipients and not as co-designers in the process of implementation of such initiatives. The other stakeholders, namely, donor agencies, government bodies and system designers are not the direct recipients of rural e-governance initiatives, they do not entirely understand the needs, aspirations and context of rural citizens and end up being more focused on technology aspects. There is a need for a design approach that is broader in perspective and not merely based on understanding of technological diffusion or acceptance parameters. There is a dearth of explicit elaboration as to how to design such citizen-centric e-governance initiatives for a developing and diverse country like India, especially with reference to its rural setting. Therefore an attempt is required by the designers and policy makers alike to explore the social, technical, cultural, administrative and related contextual factors influencing an e-governance implementation, with the objective of developing an e-governance approach that is more suitable and effective from the perspective of rural citizens.

- ¹ Gilmore, A., & D'Souza, C. (2006). Service excellence in e-governance issues: An Indian Case Study. *Journal of Administration and Governance*, 1(1), 1-14.
- ² Saxena, K.B.C. (2005). Towards excellence in e-governance. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 18(6), 498-513.
- ³ Census of India. (2001). *Registrar General & Census Commissioner*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India: Retrieved December 2004, from http://www.censusindia.net/.

⁴ ibid

- ⁵ Department of Information Technology (Dit). (2009). *eDistGuidelinee*.. Retrieved October 2009, from www.mit.gov.in/download/eDistGuidelines_Feb09 (rev1).pdf.
- ⁶ Heeks, R., & Davis, A. (1999). Different Approaches to Information Age Reform. In Heeks R. (Ed.) Reinventing Government in the Information Age: IT-Enabled Public Sector Reform. London: Routledge.
- ⁷ Jauhari, V. (2004). Information Technology, Corporate Business Firms and Sustainable Development: Lessons from Cases of Success from India. In *International Seminar on e-Commerce and Economic Development*. New-Delhi: Foundation for Public Economics and Policy Research.
- ⁸ Wilson, M. (2000). *Understanding the International ICT and Development Discourse: Assumptions and Implications*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Paper based on research conducted for the author's M.Phil., U.K: Development Studies at Oxford University.
- ⁹ Heeks, R. (2002). Information systems and developing countries: failure, success and local improvisions. *The Information Society*, 18(2), 101-12.
- ¹⁰ Carter, L., & Weerakkody, V. (2008). E-government adoption: a cultural comparison. *Information Systems Front*, 10, 473-482.
- ¹¹ Hung, S.Y., Chang, C.-M., & Yu, T.J. (2005). Determinants of user acceptance of the e-Government services: The case of online tax filing and payment system. *Government Information Quarterly*, 23, 97-122.
- ¹² Kraemer, K.L., & Dedrick, J. (1997). Computing and Public Organizations.s *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 7, pp. 89-113.
- ¹³ Dwivedi, Y. K., Papazafeiropoulou, A., Gharavi, H., & Khoumbati, K. (2006). Examining the socio-economic determinants of adoption of an e-Government initiative 'Government Gateway'. The *Electronic Government An International Journal*, 3(4), 404-419.
- ¹⁴ Darrell, W. (2002). US State and Federal e-Government Full Report. Available at: www.insidepolitics.org/egovt02us.pdf: September.
- ¹⁵ Dimitrova, D.V., & Chen, Yu-Che., (2006). Profiling the adopters of e-government information and services: The influence of psychological characteristics, civic mindedness and information channels. *Social Science Computer Review*, 24, 172.

- ¹⁶ Fang, Z. (2002). E-government in digital era: concept, practice and development. *International Journal of the Computer, Internet and Information*, 20, 193-213.
- ¹⁷ Mutula, S. M. (2005). Peculiarities of the digital divide in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Program: Electronic Library and Information Systems*, 39(2), 122-138.
- ¹⁸ Oxendine, A., Borgida, E., Sullivan, J. L., & Jackson, M.S. (2003). The importance of trust and community in developing and maintaining a community electronic network. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 58, 671-696.
- ¹⁹ Jarvenpaa, S.I., Tractinsky, N., & Sarinen, L. (1999). Consumer trust in an internet store: a cross cultural validation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 5(2).
- ²⁰ Ramachandran, C. (2003). Information Technology and Social Development . *Economic and Political Weekly*, March 2229, 2003, 1192-1197.
- ²¹ Ratnadeep, V., & Hara, N. (2006). Community Information Capacity Building through Information Systems: A Conceptual Framework Based on Case Studies from India. *AMCIS- Americas Conference on Information Systems 2006 Proceedings. Paper 63.*
- ²² Penz, E. (2005). Researching the socio-cultural context: Putting social representations theory into action. *International Marketing Review*, 23(4), 418-437.
- ²³ Kanungo, S. (2004). On the Emancipatory Role of Rural Information Systems. *Information Technology and People*, 17(4), 407–22.
- ²⁴ Belanger, F., & Carter, L. (2005). The utilization of e-government services: citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors. *Information Systems Journal*, 15, 5-25.
- ²⁵ Bhattacherjee, A. (2002). Individual trust in online firms: scale development and initial trust. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(1), 211-41.
- ²⁶ Gefen, D., Warkentin, M., Pavlou, P.A., & Rose, G.M. (2002). Encouraging Citizen Adoption of eGovernment by Building Trust. *Electronic Markets: the International Journal of Electronic Commerce & Business Media*, 12(3), 157-162.
- ²⁷ Navarra, D.D., & Cornfford, T. (2003). A policy making view of e-government innovations in public governance. *Proceedings of the Ninth Americas Conference on Information System*. Tampa, Florida.
- ²⁸ Margetts, H., & Dunleavy, P., (2002). Cultural barriers to e-government. Working paper. London: University College London and London School of Economics, National Audit Office.
- ²⁹ Lee-Kelley, L., & Kolsaker, A. (2004). E-government: The fit between supply assumptions and usage drivers. *Electronic Government: An International Journal*, 1(2), 130-140.
- ³⁰ Gupta, D.N. (2007). *Citizen-centric approach for e-governance. Foundations of e-governance.* In Ashok Agarwal and V Venkata Ramana (Eds.), New Delhi: New Century Publication. Available At: http://www.iceg.net/2007/books/1/5_392.pdf.

- ³¹ Burn, J., & Robins, G. (2003). Moving towards e-Government: A case study of organisational change processes. *Logistics Information Management*, *16*(1), 25-35.
- ³² Donnelly, M. (1999). Making the difference: Quality strategy in the public sector. *Managing Service Quality*, 9(1), 47-52.
- ³³ Fors, M., & Moreno, A. (Eds.). (2002). The benefits and obstacles of implementing ICTs strategies for development from a bottom-up approach. *Aslib Proceedings:* 54(3), 198-206: MCB UP Ltd. ISSN 0001-253X. doi: 10.1108/00012530210441746.
- ³⁴ Pujar, S.M., Kamat, R.K., Bansode, S.Y., Kamat, R.R., & Katigennavar, S.H. (2008). Identifying and exploiting human needs for a people centric evolving knowledge society: A case study of Indian ICT Emergence. *The International Information & Library Review*, 40, 165-170.
- ³⁵ Evans D. & Yen D.C. (2006). E-Government: Evolving relationship of citizens and government, domestic, and international development. *Government Information Quarterly*, 23, 207-235, USA: Miami University. doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2005.11.004.
- ³⁶ Grindle, M. S. (2004). Good Enough Governance: Poverty Reduction and Reform in Developing Countries, Governance. An International Journal of Policy, Administrators & Institutions, 15(4), 525-548.
- ³⁷ Farnham, D., & Horton, D. (1996). *Managing People in the Public Services*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- ³⁸ Garson, G. D. (1999). Information Technology and Computer Applications: Issues and Trends. 131. USA: Idea Group Publishing.
- ³⁹ Dhameja, A., & Medury, U. (2004). ICT and Governance: The Socio-Economic Concerns. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, *IIPA*, 317-319.

Biographical notes: Charru Malhotra is presently an Assistant Professor (Systems Analysis cum Programming) at the Indian Institute of Public Administration (IIPA), New Delhi. She is pursuing her PhD (2004) at IIT-Delhi in 'e-Governance for Rural Development'. She holds a Master's degree in Computer Applications (MCA-Hons), and a Certificate of Excellence as Microsoft Certified Solutions Developer (MCSD). She is a MIS/GIS consultant to UN bodies (The World Bank, Winrock International) and a certified Trainer of Trainers by the Government of India. She has more than 15 years of experience in software development and research in the field of Information Technology (IT), her current areas of interest include egovernance, software engineering and web based technologies.

Vijayaraghavan M. Chariar received his Master's degree in Physics from IIT Bombay in 1991 and his PhD degree in Physics from IIT Delhi in 1999. He has been an activist supporter associated with various movements for sustainable

development in India. He has researched and taught at NISTADS, New Delhi, BITS Pilani and IIT Kharagpur. Currently he teaches at the Centre for Rural Development and Technology, IIT Delhi. His research interests include sustainable technologies, science and technology policy, integral education and participatory development.

Lalit Das is presently a Professor, Chief Design Engineer (SG) and Head of the Instrument Design and Development Centre (IDDC) at IITD. Here he is also actively involved in the design, conduct and teaching of a two years' interdisciplinary Master's degree in Design (MDes) Programme in Industrial Design at IITD. He has a BTech (IITK), a MTech (IITD) and MA (RCA). He has been actively involved in several reputed international institutions such as the Department of Fine Arts, University of Manitoba, Canada and with national level projects like the design of distant education for artisans for IGNOU, and recently announced a National Policy on Design. With several publications to his credit, his chief areas of interest are industrial design, product design, design education, computer aided design and design and societal development.

Appendix-1

List of Rural ICT Initiatives in India

| S.N | Name | Туре | Initiator | Region |
|-----|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Akshaya | e-Governance | Kerala State Department of Information Technology | Southern India |
| 2 | AP online- One-stop-shop on the Internet | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 3 | AP Technology Services Ltd | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 4 | Aquachoupal.com/e-Choupal | Tele-Centre | ITC's International Business Division | Southern India |
| 5 | AsCent Online Marketing :Computer Aided Design of Artisanal Goods | Computer based Training / Employment | AsCent | Southern India |
| 6 | Bhoomi | e-Governance | Govt. of Karnataka | Southern India |
| 7 | Chennai Kavigal | Application / Content | Chennai Kavigal | Southern India |
| 8 | Community Access to Sustainable Health (Ca:sh) | Application / Content | Media Lab Asia | Southern India |
| 9 | Community Learning Centres In Karnataka: Azim Premji Foundation | - | Govt. of Karnataka | Southern India |
| 10 | Computer aided Administration of Registration Department (CARD) | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 11 | Computer Based Functional Literacy: TATA Group | Computer based Training / Employment | Tata Group | Southern India |
| 12 | Computer literacy for High Schools in Andhra Pradesh | Computer based Training / Employment | | Southern India |
| 13 | Computer on Wheels (COW) | Application / Content | Rajeswari Raj Pingali, a local development practitioner | Southern India |
| 14 | Computerized Panchayat in Belandur | e-Governance | Bellandur Gram Panchayat and Village Development Committee | |
| 15 | Cyber Grameen | Tele-Centre | Swarna Bharat Trust | Southern India |

| 16 | Data Vision | Hardware | Web Ezee Technologies | Southern India |
|----|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 17 | Deccan Development Society's Radio and Video Projects | Radio, Video and TV | DDS | Southern India |
| 18 | Digital Photoshop Studio and Equipment: HP Labs India | Hardware | Hewlett Packard Labs Ltd. | Southern India |
| 19 | Early Detection and Prevention System 2000: A Computerized Medical Diagnostic System | 1 | The George Foundation | Southern India |
| 20 | e-Computerised Operations for Police Services : eCops | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 21 | Ek Panch | Application / Content | National Informatics Centre (NIC), Hyderabad | Southern India |
| 22 | e-Seva | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 23 | e-Srinkhala | e-Governance | Keltron | Southern India |
| 24 | e-Tapaal: E-mail in Indian Languages | Application / Content | Kaveri Communications Pvt. Ltd. | Southern India |
| 25 | Four-in-one Computer for Rural TeleCentres | Hardware | Hewlett-Packard Labs India | Southern India |
| 26 | Freedom Foundation: Using the Net to Help HIV-positive children | Computer based Training / Employment | Freedom Foundation | Southern India |
| 27 | FRIENDS (Fast, Reliable, Instant, Efficient Network for the Disbursement of Services) | e-Governance | Kerala State Department of Information Technology | Southern India |
| 28 | Fully Automated Services of Transport Department (FAST) | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 29 | Gram Phones | Hardware | Rural Telecom Foundation (RTF) | Southern India |
| 30 | HISAAB | Application / Content | Media Lab Asia | Southern India |
| 31 | ICT and E-Inclusion Research | Application / Content | Hewlett-Packard Labs India | Southern India |
| 32 | India Health Care Project | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 33 | India IT Freedom Project | Application / Content | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 34 | IndiaAgriLine: Agricultural Information | Application / Content | EID Parry enterprise of the Murugappa group. | Southern India |

| 35 | Information Technology and Rural Extension in India | Tele-Centre | Tamil Nadu University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences (TANUVAS) | |
|----|--|--|---|-------------------|
| 36 | Infothela | Hardware | Media Lab Asia | Southern India |
| 37 | iStation: E-mail without a PC | Hardware | iNabling Technologies Pvt. Ltd. | Southern India |
| 38 | J-FarmIndia.Com Agricultural Information and Services | Application / Content | | Southern India |
| 39 | Karshaka Pragati: Farmer Empowerment in Rural AP | Application / Content | Co-Options Technologies Ltd. | Southern India |
| 40 | Khajane | e-Governance | Government of Karnataka | Southern India |
| 41 | Krishi Marata Vahini | e-Governance | Govt. of Karnataka | Southern India |
| 42 | MAHILA SPURTHI | Tele-Centre | Collectorate of West Godavari | Southern India |
| 43 | Mahiti | Application / Content | MAHITI Infotech Pvt. Ltd. | Southern India |
| 44 | Mahiti Sindhu - School Project In Rural Karnataka | Computer based Training / Employment | NIIT | Southern India |
| 45 | Mana TV | Radio, Video and TV | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 46 | MANAGE: Andhra Pradesh | Tele-Centre | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 47 | Mandya Tele Centres | Hardware | iNabling Technologies Pvt. Ltd | Southern India |
| 48 | | Application / Content | Modular Infotech Pvt Ltd. | Southern India |
| 49 | Multi Purpose Household Survey (MPHS) | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 50 | Namma Dhwani: The Community Radio Workshop Program | | VOICES | Southern India |
| 51 | Networked HIV-AIDS Intervention | Application / Content | Samuha.org | Southern India |
| 52 | NUDI: Computing in Kannada | Application / Content | Kannada Ganaka Parishat | Southern India |

| 53 | OddanchatramMarket.com | Application / Content | Reddiarchatram Seed Growers Association (RSGA), Kannivadi, and Kulumai, a Federation of Self-Help Groups (SHGs). | |
|----|--|--|--|-------------------|
| 54 | OLTP: Online Transaction Processing | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 55 | Orphan IT | Computer based Training / Employment | Orphan IT | Southern India |
| 56 | Prakruthi | Computer based Training / Employment | Prakruthi | Southern India |
| 57 | Project by Anna University and En Masse | Computer based Training / Employment | Anna University | Southern India |
| 58 | Rasi Maiyams : FOOD | Tele-Centre | Foundation Of Occupational Development (FOOD) | Southern India |
| 59 | Rural Development Network | e-Governance | Govt. of Kerala | Southern India |
| 60 | Saukaryam | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 61 | Sisu Samrakshak: ICT-Enabled Child Health Care by UNICEF | Application / Content | UNICEF | Southern India |
| 62 | Sumangali Seva Ashram IT Education Program for Women and Children | - | Sumangali Sewa Ashram | Southern India |
| 63 | Sustainable Access in Rural India : SARI | Tele-Centre | The Telecommunications and Computer Networks Group | |
| 64 | Sustainable Dryland Agriculture | Tele-Centre | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 65 | Swayam Krishi Sangam | Application / Content | Swayam Krishi Sangam | Southern India |
| 66 | Swayamkrushi Women's Development Mutually Aided Thrift and Cooperative Society | Tele-Centre | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 67 | Tambaram Municipality | e-Governance | Tambaram Municipality | Southern India |
| 68 | Telemedicine Â- AP Govt and CARE Foundation | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |

| 69 | Tel-Nek: IT Enabled Education for Rural Women | Computer based Training / Employment | 1 | Southern India |
|-----|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 70 | The E- commerce Store and E- marketers Project: FOOD | Application / Content | Foundation Of Occupational Development (FOOD) | Southern India |
| 71 | The Inter-city Marketing Programme: FOOD | Hardware | Foundation Of Occupational Development (FOOD) | Southern India |
| 72 | The Kalanjiam Community Banking Programme (KCBP)- Dhan Foundation | | Dhan Foundation | Southern India |
| 73 | Village Knowledge Centres: MSSRF | Tele-Centre | M.S Swaminathan Research Foundation | Southern India |
| 74 | VoGRAM | e-Governance | Indian Institute of Science Bangalore | Southern India |
| 75 | VOICE: Vijayawada Online Information Centre | e-Governance | Govt. of Andhra Pradesh | Southern India |
| 76 | | Computer based Training / Employment | World Corps India | Southern India |
| 77 | Yuva.com | Computer based Training / Employment | Govt. of Karnataka | Southern India |
| 78. | n-Logue | Rural Connectivity | | IIT, M |

Source: itforchange.net/ic4d/south-india.html (ICT4D)

Database search for ICT for rural development; Accessed in November, 2004

(But it is not to be treated as an updated or an exhaustive list)